UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Dajohn Christopher Davis	Case No.1:21-mj-00320-SJB
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I –	Findings of Fact
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. which the prison term is 10 years or more.	3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:
a minor victim the possession or use of a fireari a failure to register under 18 U.S	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	I while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pres person or the community. I further find that defend	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternat	tive Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	s or more is prescribed in: seq.) *
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
✓ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance	stablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	tive Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	•
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar	of the Reasons for Detention
	the detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	the determion hearing establishes by clear and convincing
For the reasons stated on the record, there are no conditionappearance of the defendant and the safety of the communi 1. Substance Abuse History	s or a combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the ty based on his:
2. Criminal History including Record of Failure to Appear3. Instant Offense Conduct	, Conduct on Bond
4. Pending Charges/Warrants	
	ons Regarding Detention
	orney General or a designated representative for confinement in a ersons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending

Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

June 18, 2021

Date:

Judge's Signature: /s/ Sally J. Berens

appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the